

<b>Report to:</b>	<b>STRATEGIC COMMISSIONING BOARD</b>
<b>Date:</b>	29 August 2018
<b>Officer of Strategic Commissioning Board</b>	Kathy Roe, Director of Finance
<b>Subject:</b>	<b>TAMESIDE &amp; GLOSSOP CCG RISK REGISTER EXTRACT: RISK 32 – RISK OF NEGATIVE IMPACT OF THE STRATEGIC COMMISSION ON THE CCG</b>
<b>Report Summary:</b>	<p>Audit Committee is requesting Strategic Commissioning Board's support in reviewing the Tameside and Glossop Clinical Commissioning Group's Operational Risk Register. At its meetings in March and May 2018 the Committee requested that each of the Clinical Commissioning Group's committees considers:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• those risks on the Operational Risk Register which fall within the committee's scope (Risk 32);</li> <li>• those areas of operational risk which might not be covered yet within the Risk Register, with a view of identifying new additions to the register if required.</li> </ul> <p>Risk 32 specifically relates to the Strategic Commissioning Board to ensure it does not negatively impact the Clinical Commissioning Group. The report highlights the locality's Quarter 4 Assurance meeting with the Greater Manchester Health and Social Care Partnership and the Clinical Commissioning Group rating as Good.</p>
<b>Recommendations:</b>	<p>To review and provide comments on Risk 32 to be reported back to Clinical Commissioning Group's Audit Committee via the risk owner / relevant deputy's update.</p> <p>To identify new areas of operational risk not yet covered within the CCG's Risk Register</p>
<b>Financial Implications:</b> <b>(Authorised by the statutory Section 151 Officer &amp; Chief Finance Officer)</b>	There are no financial implications to this report.
<b>Legal Implications:</b> <b>(Authorised by the Borough Solicitor)</b>	The Clinical Commissioning Group have a legal obligation to monitor and address relevant risks within the organisation. The committee's assistance in reviewing and identifying relevant risks within the Strategic Commission will ensure that the Clinical Commissioning Group's Operational Risk Register is a robust document fit for purpose.
<b>How do proposals align with Health &amp; Wellbeing Strategy?</b>	To ensure we are meeting our constitutional requirements.
<b>How do proposals align with Locality Plan?</b>	The review of risk ensures the focus of the Clinical Commissioning Group and its working within the locality plan.
<b>How do proposals align with the Commissioning</b>	By meeting our constitutional requirements.

## Strategy?

<b>Recommendations / views of the Health and Care Advisory Group:</b>	There are no recommendation/views of the Health & Care Advisory Group.
<b>Public and Patient Implications:</b>	The reviewed risk ensures the operational running of the Clinical Commissioning Group.
<b>Quality Implications:</b>	To ensure that a full review of risk is completed and presented to relevant officers.
<b>How do the proposals help to reduce health inequalities?</b>	The proposal will not affect protected characteristic group(s) within the Equality Act.
<b>What are the Equality and Diversity implications?</b>	There are no equality and diversity implications within this report.
<b>What are the safeguarding implications?</b>	There are no safeguarding implications.
<b>What are the Information Governance implications? Has a privacy impact assessment been conducted?</b>	There are no information governance implications within this report therefore a privacy impact assessment has not been carried out.
<b>Risk Management:</b>	There is a monthly review of all risks which are then reported to the Clinical Commissioning Group's Audit Committee.
<b>Access to Information :</b>	The background papers relating to this report can be inspected by contacting Jo Keast



Telephone: 0161 342 5646



e-mail: [jkeast@nhs.net](mailto:jkeast@nhs.net)

Reference: 32	Risk that the arrangements for the Strategic Commission may negatively impact upon the CCG.					
Identified June 2016						
Initial impact: 4	Initial likelihood: 2	Initial rating: 8		Risk owner: Steven Pleasant		
Controls				Assurances		
1. Establishment of the Strategic Commission 2. Introduction of a Single Accountable Officer 3. Governance processes in place to support the Strategic Commissioning Board				1 This was established on 1 April 2016 2 The arrangements have been approved by NHS England 3 These are in place and subject to monitoring and review		
Controlled impact: 4	Controlled likelihood: 1	Controlled rating: 4		Within risk appetite?		Y
Further potential mitigating actions:			Future significant events:			
Nil identified			Nil identified			
Review of the last month:  The new Lay Member for Patient and Public Involvement has started in post. Elections have been held for the three Governing Body GP roles that were up for renewal. The new role of Post-CCT Fellow GP has been filled.  A number of successful visits to the locality have been held. These have in part focused upon the governance arrangements of the Strategic Commission.						
Next month's expected position:  The locality's Quarter 4 Assurance meeting with the Greater Manchester Health and Social Care Partnership is being held on 24 May 2018. This will include consideration of the CCG's 'Quality of Leadership'.						
Completed by: Paul Pallister			Completed on: 21.05.18			

J 2017	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J 2018	F	M	A	M	J
-----------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	-----------	---	---	---	---	---